Settlement Name:	Blofield
Settlement Hierarchy:	Blofield is defined as a key service centre in the Greater Norwich Local Plan. Services include a primary school, shop, doctors surgery, village hall, library and public house.
	The Blofield Neighbourhood Plan was 'made' in July 2016 and covers the period to 2036. The vision for the neighbourhood plan is for the nature and character of the rural village to be preserved and retained, in order to meet the various needs of residents, contribute to a high quality of life and provide opportunity and choice. This will be achieved in ways that make effective use of natural resources, enhance the environment, promote social inclusion and supports the local economy.
	At the base date of the plan there is one allocation to be carried forward from the Broadland Local Plan for 175 homes, plus an additional 274 dwellings with planning permission
	The 'Towards a Strategy' document identifies that 400-600 dwellings in total should be provided between all the Key Service Centres over the lifetime of the plan. The very large existing commitment in Blofield suggests very little additional growth in the settlement, although this site assessment booklet looks in detail at the sites promoted in Blofield to determine if any are suitable for allocation.

STAGE 1 – COMPLETE LIST OF SITES PROMOTED IN THE SETTLEMENT

ALLOCATION (0.5 HECT	ARES OR LARGE	R)	
Address	Site Reference	Area (ha)	Proposal
	Blofie	ld	
Land to the South of Lingwood Road	GNLP0082	4.85	125-149 dwellings
Land at Yarmouth Road	GNLP0252	4.53	Residential (unspecified number)
Manor Park	GNLP2024	1.26	Residential (unspecified number)
Between Yarmouth Rd & A47	GNLP2085	1.15	30 dwellings
North of Yarmouth Road	GNLP2149	0.50	4 dwellings and open space
Norwich Camping & Leisure	GNLP2161	0.90	Residential (unspecified number)

13.19

LIST OF SITES TO BE CONSIDERED FOR RESIDENTIAL/MIXED USE ALLOCATION (0.5 HECTARES OR LARGER)

Total area of land

LIST OF SITES TO BE CONSIDERED AS SETTLEMENT BOUNDARY EXTENSIONS (SETTLEMENT BOUNDARY PROPOSALS AND SITES LESS THAN 0.5 HECTARES)

Address	Site Reference	Area (ha)	Proposal
	Blofield		
Land to the north of Yarmouth Road	GNLP0508	0.35	Approx. 9 dwellings

(Sites of less than 0.5ha are not considered suitable for allocation and therefore have not been assessed in this booklet. These sites will be considered as part of a reappraisal of settlement boundaries to be published with the Regulation 19 Submission version of the plan).

LIST OF SITES SUBMITTED FOR OTHER USES

Address	Site	Area (ha)	Proposal
	Reference		
	Blofield		
None			

(Sites submitted for other uses are considered in separate 'Non-Residential' Site Assessment booklets and therefore have not been assessed in this booklet).

STAGE 2 – HELAA COMPARISON TABLE

RESIDENTIAL/MIXED USE

							Categ	jories						
	Site access	Access to services	Utilities Capacity	Utilities Infrastructure	Contamination/ ground stability	Flood Risk	Market attractiveness	Significant landscapes	Sensitive townscapes	Biodiversity & Geodiversity	Historic environment	Open Space and GI	Transport & Roads	Compatibility with neighbouring uses
Site Reference														
						Blo	ofield							
GNLP0082	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Amber	Green	Amber	Amber
GNLP0252	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Amber	Amber	Amber	Green	Green	Green
GNLP2024	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber
GNLP2085	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green
GNLP2149	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green
GNLP2161	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green	Amber	Green	Green	Green	Green	Green

Site Comments Reference Blofield GNLP0082 **General comments** Objections received to the potential allocation of this site on the grounds of the site is outside the village envelope, traffic volume, road safety issues, infrastructure and access. Concerns regarding loss of agricultural to housing developments, flooding (referred to in the neighbourhood plan, adopted in 2016), overcapacity services. GNI P0252 **General comments** Objections received to the potential allocation of this site on the grounds the land is classed as agricultural grade 2 that should benefit the surrounding habitants not become another housing estate. Local health centres are unable to cope no longer taking new patients. One comment supported the potential site allocation on the grounds the location is in a strategically advantageous location, capable of further sustainable growth within the existing residential hierarchy. Services and facilities will grow while the site will have easy access to local amenities. GNLP2024 General comments Two objections raised regarding general objections with the settlement including but not limited to the scale of development, high density traffic combined with dangerous junctions (for example, Rectory Road to the A140 has very poor visibility to the left), incorrect HELAA assessment ('no loss of high quality farmland would occur', sites are prime arable land), local health care & schools, Loss of Grade 1 or 2 agricultural land and water supply. Parish Council comments Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development. GNI P2085 **General comments** Three objections raised regarding site access, traffic influx, duelling of A47, SHMA Evidence (Strategic Housing Market Assessment) and buffer zone between existing housing and A47. Parish Council comments Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout. local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade

STAGE 3 – SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION COMMENTS

	1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.
GNLP2149	General comments Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.
	One objector states the proposal has been rejected by Blofield Parish Council and Broadland Planning Department, therefore the settlement limit extensions should be returned to its previous boundaries.
	One comment submitted in support of GNLP2149. The HELAA assessment shows access/transport/roads as 'amber', this should be green. NCC Highways confirms suitable access can be achieved with minor works.
	Parish Council comments Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.
GNLP2161	General comments Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.
	Comments submitted in support of site. The site is considered suitable for development as it will have no impact on traffic levels in the village.
	Parish Council comments Objections raised regarding capacity of access to A47 roundabout, local healthcare & schools, capacity of Postwick hub, loss of Grade 1/2 agricultural land and ability of water supply treatment infrastructure to serve development.

STAGE 4 – DISCUSSION OF SUBMITTED SITES

In this section sites are assessed in order to establish whether they are suitable for allocation. For the purposes of Sustainability Appraisal, suitable sites are those which are considered to be Reasonable Alternatives. Sites not considered suitable for allocation are not realistic options and therefore are not considered to be reasonable alternatives. The discussion below outlines the reasons why a site has been deemed suitable or unsuitable for allocation. By association this is also the outline of the reasons why a site was deemed to be a reasonable or unreasonable alternative.

A range of factors have been taken into account to establish whether a site should, or should not, be considered suitable for allocation. These factors include: impact on heritage and landscape; impact on the form and character of the settlement; relationship to services and facilities; environmental concerns, including flood risk; and, in particular, a safe walking route to a primary school. Sites which do not have a safe walking route to school, or where a safe walking route cannot be created will not be considered suitable for allocation.

Conclusions in regard to a sites performance against the relevant factors have also been informed by the outcomes of the HELAA, as set out under stage 2, consultation responses received, as summarised in stage 3, and other relevant evidence

Six sites have been promoted for residential development in the main part of Blofield on sites 0.5ha or larger totalling 13.19 ha. Further sites have been promoted in Blofield Heath but these are considered in a separate site assessment booklet as Blofield Heath forms a village cluster based on the catchment of Hemblington Primary School. The main part of Blofield is bounded by the A47 to the north. To the south are the ecological and townscape considerations posed by the Witton Run and the need to maintain a strategic gap to Brundall. Blofield has seen several schemes approved since 2011 and the existing commitment (allocations plus planning permissions) is 449 dwellings. The 'Towards a Strategy' document suggests little additional growth in Blofield given the substantial existing commitment but it is important to assess the sites put forward to see if there may be any potential to make a small allocation.

The HELAA scores for the sites are all fairly similar and as there are no major constraints identified it is difficult to rule out any sites as unsuitable for development. Common concerns are capacity of the A47 Yarmouth Road roundabout, landscape and townscape impacts and the loss of high quality agricultural land. All sites are shortlisted as reasonable alternatives for further consideration, although given that minimal growth is envisaged, it is likely that GNLP0082 and GNLP0252 will be too large, although a smaller site area could be considered.

STAGE 5 – SHORTLIST OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE SITES FOR FURTHER ASSESSMENT

Based on the assessment undertaken at stage 4 above the following sites are considered to be reasonable alternatives.

Address	Site Reference	Area (ha)	Proposal
	Blofield		
Land to the South of Lingwood Road	GNLP0082	4.85	125-149 dwellings
Land at Yarmouth Road	GNLP0252	4.53	Residential (unspecified number)
Manor Park	GNLP2024	1.26	Residential (unspecified number)
Between Yarmouth Rd & A47	GNLP2085	1.15	30 dwellings
North of Yarmouth Road	GNLP2149	0.50	4 dwellings and open space
Norwich Camping & Leisure	GNLP2161	0.90	Residential (unspecified number)
Total area of land		13.19	

STAGE 6 – DETAILED SITE ASSESSMENTS OF REASONABLE ALTERNATIVE SITES

Site Reference:	GNLP0082
Address:	Land to the South of Lingwood Road
Proposal:	125-149 dwellings

BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD:
Greenfield

CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA
Amber Constraints in HELAA:
Utilities Capacity, Townscapes, Historic Environment, Transport and Roads,
Compatibility with Neighbouring Uses
HELAA Conclusion:
The site is on Lingwood Road which is narrow, but it has a 30 MPH limit. The site
has a long frontage adjacent to the build-up area and is therefore in close

has a long frontage adjacent to the build-up area and is therefore in close proximity to local services. Initial highway evidence has highlighted concerns that there are potential access constraints on the site, but these could be overcome through development. The local road network is considered to be unsuitable either in terms of road capacity, or lack of footpath provision. Other constraints identified include the potential risk to the rural settings of Grade II listed Owls Barn directly opposite. There are some constraints affecting this site but there is also a reasonable prospect that any arising impacts could be mitigated. This site is concluded as suitable for the land availability assessment.

FURTHER COMMENTS

Highways

No (Earlier comment – No- Network) Carriageway too narrow for 2 way traffic west of site without scope for improvement.

Development Management

The site area significantly exceeds what is required to deliver the envisaged growth for the settlement and development of the scale proposed would have adverse impact on character and appearance and local road network appears constrained. Potential capacity issues at Cucumber Lane roundabout.

Minerals & Waste

No safeguarded mineral resources

Lead Local Flood Authority

No comments

Children's Services

Blofield has considerable pressure for pupil places and would not be able to cope with further growth without new school provision.

PLANNING HISTORY:

No planning applications found

BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

Possible Access Points Plans

Site Reference:	GNLP0252
Address:	Land at Yarmouth Road
Proposal:	Residential Development (unspecified number)

CURRENT USE OF SITE:	BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD:
Unused/vacant	Greenfield

CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA

Amber Constraints in HELAA:

Utilities Capacity, Significant Landscapes, Townscapes, Biodiversity and Geodiversity, Historic Environment

HELAA Conclusion:

The site is adjacent to the built up area and well-related to services. Initial highway evidence has highlighted concerns that there are potential access constraints on the site, but these could be overcome through development and it is believed that, subject to suitable footpath provision, any potential impact on the functioning of local roads could be reasonably mitigated. Other constraints are nearby listed buildings. There are a number of constraints affecting this site but these may be possible to mitigate. The site is concluded as suitable for the land availability assessment.

FURTHER COMMENTS

Highways

Yes. Subject to satisfactory access via BL02.

Development Management

Site significantly larger than what is required for scale of growth envisaged in settlement. Smaller site area could be considered and would have less landscape visual impact. Potential capacity issues at Cucumber Lane roundabout would need to be considered.

Minerals & Waste

The site is underlain by a defined Mineral Safeguarding Area for sand and gravel. Any future development on this site will need to address the requirements of Norfolk Minerals and Waste Core Strategy Policy CS16 - 'safeguarding' (or any successor policy) in relation to mineral resources, to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority.

Lead Local Flood Authority

No comments

Children's Services

PLANNING HISTORY: No recent history

BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

- Indicative site layout
- Potential highways access points

Site Reference:	GNLP2024
Address:	Manor Park
Proposal:	Residential Development (unspecified number)

CURRENT USE OF SITE:	BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD:
Former agricultural land but currently not used	Greenfield

CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA

Amber Constraints in HELAA:

Access, Contamination and Ground Stability, Compatibility with Neighbouring Uses

HELAA Conclusion:

This is a 1.26 ha site that forms part of the existing BLO1 allocation (south of the A47 and north of Yarmouth Road). Considerations exist over how this portion of the site relates to the rest of the BLO1 allocation and the extent to which a mix of employment, commercial and residential uses is necessary. The site is concluded suitable for the land availability assessment however it is subject to an existing allocation for housing, and it will not contribute any additional development capacity for the purposes of the HELAA analysis and is therefore marked as unsuitable.

FURTHER COMMENTS

Highways

Yes. Subject to review of parking within the highway and possible 'de-engineering' of former trunk road.

Development Management

Residential development may fetter range of uses in BLO1 and which have been approved through planning applications reducing the potential level and range of services for the village. Consideration of Cucumber Lane roundabout capacity. Size of site is larger than required to deliver scale of development envisaged for settlement.

Minerals & Waste

No safeguarded mineral resources

Lead Local Flood Authority No comments

Children's Services

PLANNING HISTORY:

20111303 outline. Subsequent RM 20140757 for 1500sm B1 and 20140758 for supermarket and pub/restaurant. 20160497 Hybrid for 2500 sqm B1.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

No additional documents submitted to support this proposal.

Site Reference:	GNLP2085
Address:	Between Yarmouth Road & A47
Proposal:	30 dwellings

CURRENT USE OF SITE:	BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD:
Agriculture	Greenfield

CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA Amber Constraints in HELAA:

Access, Transport and Roads

HELAA Conclusion:

This is a 1.15 ha greenfield site off Yarmouth Road, along a private drive, and adjacent to the settlement boundary. Initial highway advice suggests that there is no obvious means of accessing the site however the promotor explains that discussions are ongoing with a neighbouring property owner and Norfolk County Council to secure a vehicular access in to the site (see the assessment for site GNLP2149). To the north the site is bounded by the A47; to south it is adjacent to the settlement boundary; and, to the west is an ongoing development by Norfolk Homes. Landscaping and acoustic mitigations could be required next to the A47, and other general considerations may include utility and infrastructure upgrades, as well as management of surface water flood risk. The amount of development that can be achieved off a private drive is usually limited, but subject to ongoing discussions being able to resolve the access concerns the site is considered suitable for inclusion in the land availability assessment.

FURTHER COMMENTS

Highways

No. No access to highway

Development Management

Site potentially constrained in terms of noise impacts from A47 - further consideration required of whether acceptable levels are/can be achieved. Also, further consideration of access issues needs to be resolved before site could be taken forward as suitable.

Minerals & Waste

No safeguarded mineral resources

Lead Local Flood Authority No comments

Children's Services

PLANNING HISTORY:

Relevant history on adjacent site GNLP2149 - 20181043 refused for 4 dwellings subject to current appeal.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

Ecology Appraisal Document

Site Reference:	GNLP2149
Address:	North of Yarmouth Road
Proposal:	4 dwellings and open space

CURRENT USE OF SITE:	BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD:
Unused with self-seeded tress and shrubs with rough grassland.	Greenfield

CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA

Amber Constraints in HELAA:

Access, Transport and Roads

HELAA Conclusion:

This is a 0.5 ha greenfield site off Yarmouth Road, along a private drive, and adjacent to the settlement boundary. The site is promoted in its own right for four dwellings and open space. This site could also serve as access to site GNLP2085 that is under the same ownership. General considerations may include utility and infrastructure upgrades, but the overriding concern is the access. The amount of development that can be achieved off a private drive is usually limited, but subject to being able to resolve the access concerns the site is considered suitable for inclusion in the land availability assessment.

FURTHER CONSULTATION COMMENTS

Highways

No. No access to highway

Development Management

Site too small to deliver scale of development envisaged, constrained by access and subject to appeal.

Minerals & Waste

No safeguarded mineral resources

Lead Local Flood Authority

No comments

Children's Services

PLANNING HISTORY:

20181043

Refusal for 4 dwellings subject to current appeal.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

No additional documents submitted to support this proposal.

Site Reference:	GNLP2161
Address:	Norwich Camping and Leisure
Proposal:	Residential Development (unspecified number)

CURRENT USE OF SITE:	BROWNFIELD/GREENFIELD:
Retail/employment	Brownfield

CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE HELAA Amber Constraints in HELAA:

Utilities Capacity, Townscapes

HELAA Conclusion:

This is a 0.9 ha site on the Yarmouth Road to the east of the village. The site partially encompasses the service yard into Norwich Camping and Leisure, including the access used for deliveries. The neighbouring Iceni Homes development is well-advanced, creating a continuous built edge to site GNLP2161 on the southern side of Yarmouth Road. The site does not have any constraints that appear insurmountable through the development process. Further information is needed, however, on how potential development of this site relates to the ambitions for the Norwich Camping and Leisure business. The site is concluded as suitable for the land availability assessment.

FURTHER COMMENTS

Highways

Yes. Subject to provision of frontage footway and possible 'de-engineering' of former trunk road. Would need to demonstrate availability of appropriate visibility splay.

Development Management

There is a potential conflict with the delivery and service yard for Norwich Camping and Leisure which needs to be considered should the site go forward for further assessment. The business is a local employer and provides a number of local services and it would not be good planning to compromise its ability to continue operating.

Minerals & Waste

The site is underlain by a defined Mineral Safeguarding Area for sand and gravel. As the site is under 2 hectares it is exempt from the requirements of Norfolk Minerals and Waste Core Strategy Policy CS16 – 'safeguarding', in relation to mineral resources. If the site area is amended in the future to make the area over 2 hectares CS16 (or any successor policy) will apply.

Lead Local Flood Authority

No comments

Children's Services

Blofield has considerable pressure for pupil places and would not be able to cope with further growth without new school provision.

PLANNING HISTORY:

No relevant history

BRIEF SUMMARY OF PLANS/DOCUMENTS PROVIDED WITH THE SUBMISSION

No additional documents submitted to support this proposal.

<u>STAGE 7 – SETTLEMENT BASED APPRAISAL OF REASONABLE</u> <u>ALTERNATIVE SITES AND IDENTIFICATION OF PREFERRED SITE/S (WHERE</u> <u>APPROPRIATE).</u>

Six reasonable alternative sites have been identified in Blofield at stage 5 of this booklet. These sites were considered to be worthy of further investigation to look at their potential for allocation as the initial assessment did not flag up any major constraints that would preclude development. These sites have been subject to further discussion with Development Management, Highways, Flood Authority and Children's Services in order to identify preferred sites for allocation and their comments are recorded under stage 6 above.

Blofield is a key service centre and the 'Towards a Strategy' document identifies a requirement for 400-600 dwellings across this sector of the hierarchy. Residential development in Blofield is limited due to the level of existing commitment and capacity issues with the A47 roundabout. However following further discussion site GNLP2161 is thought to be of a suitable size to allocate without significant impact although there may be a need to 'de-engineer' the former trunk road and consideration will need to be given to the continued operation of the Norwich Camping and Leisure business. All other sites are considered to be unreasonable due to the major constraints identified therefore there are no reasonable alternative sites identified.

The figure of 353 quoted in the strategy refers to Blofield parish as a whole including Blofield Heath (but not Hemblington). In the settlement booklet, Blofield sites located south of the A47 have been counted in Blofield Key Service Centre; sites located north of the A47 have been counted as Blofield Heath and Hemblington village cluster. The distribution of figures between the two areas in the settlement booklets is shown in the tables below. For clarity, the figures highlighted in blue in 'Blofield Heath and Hemblington' have been counted in 'Blofield' in the strategy. This approach may be amended in the Regulation 19 version of the GNLP, comments are welcomed.

Blofield Heath & Hemblington	Village Cluster
New allocations	15-20
Carried forward allocations	<mark>36</mark> (BLO5)
PPs on other sites	Blofield Heath: 9+8+4+1+1+1+3+1=28
	Hemblington = 7
	Total = 28+7 =35
Total commitment (carried	35+36=71
forward + pp)	
Overall Total (New and	86-91
commitment)	

Blofield	Key Service Centre
New allocations	15
Carried forward allocations	163 (BLO1)

PPs on other sites	7+4+1+1+6+21+20+1+8+9+21+10+2= 111
Total commitment (carried	163+111= 274
forward + pp)	
Overall Total (New and	274+15= 289
commitment)	
STRATEGY	289 + <mark>28</mark> + <mark>36</mark> = 353

In Blofield Key Service Centre, there is one site identified as a preferred option in Blofield providing for 15 new homes. There is one carried forward allocation BLO1 for 163 homes and a total of 111 dwellings with planning permission on other sites. This gives a total deliverable housing commitment for Blofield of 289 homes between 2018 – 2038.

Preferred Sites:

Address	Site Reference	Area (Ha)	Proposal	Reason for allocating
Blofield				
Norwich Camping and Leisure	GNLP2161	0.90	15 dwellings	Residential development in Blofield is limited due to the level of existing commitment and capacity issues with the A47 roundabout however this site is considered to be of a suitable size to allocate. The allocation is subject to provision of frontage footway and possible 'de- engineering' of the former trunk road. The promoter would need to demonstrate availability of appropriate visibility splay. Consideration will need to be given to how the site relates to the existing delivery and service yard of Norwich Camping and Leisure.

Reasonable Alternative Sites:

Address	Site Reference		Promoted for	Reason for not allocating
Blofield				
COMMITME	ENTS AND INF	RAST	RUCTURE CONS	AMOUNTS OF EXISTING STRAINTS, INCLUDING OR ADDITIONAL HOUSING

Unreasonable Sites:

Address	Site Reference	Area (ha)	Promoted for	Reason for not allocating
Blofield	Reference			
Land to the south of Lingwood Road	GNLP0082	4.85	125-149 dwellings	This site is not considered to be suitable for allocation. Little additional growth is proposed in Blofield due to substantial existing commitment and concerns about capacity of the A47 roundabout. This site significantly exceeds that which is required and development of the scale proposed would have an adverse impact on character and appearance.
Land at Yarmouth Road	GNLP0252	4.53	Residential (unspecified number)	This site is not considered to be suitable for allocation. Little additional growth is proposed in Blofield due to substantial existing commitment and concerns about capacity of the A47 roundabout.
Land north of Yarmouth Road	GNLP2024	1.26	Residential (unspecified number)	This site is not considered to be suitable for allocation. Residential development would fetter the range of uses on existing Broadland Local Plan allocation BLO1 – which have been approved through planning applications. The consequence being to reduce the potential future level and range of services in the village. Furthermore, little additional growth is proposed in Blofield due to substantial existing commitment and concerns about capacity of the A47 roundabout.
Between Yarmouth Road and A47	GNLP2085	1.15	30 dwellings	This site is not considered to be suitable for allocation due to the apparent lack of access to the highway network and potential noise impacts from the A47. Furthermore, little additional growth is proposed in Blofield due to substantial existing commitment and concerns

				about capacity of the A47 roundabout.
North of Yarmouth Road	GNLP2149	0.90	Residential (unspecified number)	This site is not considered to be suitable for allocation due to the apparent lack of access to the highway network. Furthermore, little additional growth is proposed in Blofield due to substantial existing commitment and concerns about capacity of the A47 roundabout.

